arXiv:0708.1208v1 [math-ph] 9 Aug 2007

Topologies and Measurable Structures on the Projective Hilbert Space[*]

Werner Stulpe[†]

Aachen University of Applied Sciences, Jülich Campus, D-52428, Germany

Abstract

A systematic review of the various topologies that can be defined on the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., on the set of the pure quantum states, is presented. It is shown that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ carries a natural topology as well as a natural measurable structure.

Key words: Projective Hilbert space, pure quantum states, topology and Borel structure.

1 Introduction

Based on ideas of Misra [13], it was essentially the late S. Bugajski who recognized that the statistical (probabilistic) framework of quantum mechanics can be understood as a reduced classical probability theory on the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ [4, 1, 11, 14, 6]. In a forthcoming paper [7] a suggestive definition of a classical extension of quantum mechanics is given and it is proved that every such classical extension is essentially equivalent to the Misra-Bugajski scheme. Moreover, it is known that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, considered as a real differentiable manifold, carries a Riemannian as well as a symplectic structure, the latter enabling one to reformulate quantum dynamics on the (in general infinite-dimensional) phase space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ [10, 12, 8, 9, 3, 2]. Thus, quantum mechanics can be considered as some reduced classical statistical mechanics.

In order to take the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ as a sample space for classical probability theory, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ must be equipped with a measurable structure. To make $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ a differentiable manifold, it should be equipped with a topology first. The elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ can be interpreted as equivalence classes of vectors $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\varphi \neq 0$, as equivalence classes of unit vectors, as the one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, or as the one-dimensional orthogonal projections acting in $\mathcal{H}$. So, on the one hand, two qoutient topologies can be defined on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ whereas, on the other hand, all the different operator topologies induce topologies on

---

[*] This work was supported by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

[†] Electronic address: stulpe@fh-aachen.de

$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. In Section 2 we undertake a systematic review and comparison, already sketched out in [5], of the various topologies on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and show that all the topologies are the same, thus giving a natural topology $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, in Section 3 we present a simple proof that the Borel structure generated by the topology $\mathcal{T}$ coincides with the measurable structure generated by the transition probability functions of the pure quantum states; our proof simplifies a proof of Misra from 1974 for a corresponding statement [13].

2 The Topology of the Projective Hilbert Space

Let $\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$ be a nontrivial separable complex Hilbert space. Call two vectors of $\mathcal{H}^* := \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ equivalent if they differ by a complex factor, and define the *projective Hilbert space* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ to be the set of the corresponding equivalence classes which are often called *rays*. Instead of $\mathcal{H}^*$ one can consider only the unit sphere of $\mathcal{H}$, $S := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \,|\, \|\varphi\| = 1\}$. Then two unit vectors are called equivalent if they differ by a phase factor, and the set of the corresponding equivalence classes, i.e., the set of the *unit rays*, is denoted by S/S^1 (in this context, S^1 is understood as the set of all phase factors, i.e., as the set of all complex numbers of modulus 1). Clearly, S/S^1 can be identified with the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, we can consider the elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ also as the one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ or, equivalently, as the one-dimensional orthogonal projections $P = P_\varphi = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

The set $\mathcal{H}^*$ and the unit sphere S carry the topologies induced by the metric topology of $\mathcal{H}$. Using the canonical projections $\mu\colon \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mu(\varphi) := [\varphi]$, and $\nu\colon S \to S/S^1$, $\nu(\chi) := [\chi]_S$, where $[\varphi]$ is a ray and $[\chi]_S$ a unit ray, we can equip the quotient sets $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and S/S^1 with their quotient topologies $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{T}_\nu$. Considering $\mathcal{T}_\nu$, a set $O \subseteq S/S^1$ is called open if $\nu^{-1}(O)$ is open.

Theorem 1 *The set S/S^1, equipped with the quotient topology $\mathcal{T}_\nu$, is a second-countable Hausdorff space, and ν is an open continuous mapping.*

Proof. By definition of $\mathcal{T}_\nu$, ν is continuous. To show that ν is open, let U be an open set of S. From

$$\nu^{-1}(\nu(U)) = \nu^{-1}(\{[\chi]_S \,|\, \chi \in U\}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in S^1} \lambda U,$$

$S^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |\lambda| = 1\}$, it follows that $\nu^{-1}(\nu(U)) \subseteq S$ is open. So $\nu(U) \subseteq S/S^1$ is open; hence, ν is open.

Next consider two different unit rays $[\varphi]_S$ and $[\psi]_S$ where $\varphi, \psi \in S$ and $|\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle| = 1 - \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Since the mapping $\chi \mapsto |\langle\varphi|\chi\rangle|$, $\chi \in S$, is continuous, the sets

$$U_1 := \left\{\chi \in S \,\middle|\, |\langle\varphi|\chi\rangle| > 1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \tag{1}$$

and

$$U_2 := \left\{\chi \in S \,\middle|\, |\langle\varphi|\chi\rangle| < 1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \tag{2}$$

are open neighborhoods of φ and ψ, respectively. Consequently, the sets $O_1 := \nu(U_1)$ and $O_2 := \nu(U_2)$ are open neighborhoods of $[\varphi]_S$ and $[\psi]_S$, respectively. Assume $O_1 \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $[\xi]_S \in O_1 \cap O_2$, then $[\xi]_S = \nu(\chi_1) = \nu(\chi_2)$ where $\chi_1 \in U_1$ and $\chi_2 \in U_2$. It follows that χ_1 and χ_2 are equivalent, so $|\langle\varphi|\chi_1\rangle| = |\langle\varphi|\chi_2\rangle|$, in contradiction to $\chi_1 \in U_1$ and $\chi_2 \in U_2$. Hence, O_1 and O_2 are disjoint, and $\mathcal{T}_\nu$ is separating.

Finally, let $\mathcal{B} = \{U_n \,|\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable base of the topology of S and define the open sets $O_n := \nu(U_n)$. We show that $\{O_n \,|\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base of $\mathcal{T}_\nu$. For $O \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$, we have that $\nu^{-1}(O)$ is an open set of S and consequently $\nu^{-1}(O) = \bigcup_{n\in M} U_n$ where $U_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Since ν is surjective, it follows that

$$O = \nu(\nu^{-1}(O)) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{n\in M} U_n\right) = \bigcup_{n\in M} \nu(U_n) = \bigcup_{n\in M} O_n.$$

Hence, $\{O_n \,|\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable base of $\mathcal{T}_\nu$. $\square$

Analogously, it can be proved that the topology $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is separating and second-countable and that the canonical projection μ is open (and continuous by the definition of $\mathcal{T}_\mu$). Moreover, one can show that the natural bijection $\beta\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \to S/S^1$, $\beta([\varphi]) := \left[\frac{\varphi}{\|\varphi\|}\right]_S$, $\beta^{-1}([\chi]_S) = [\chi]$, is a homeomorphism. Thus, identifying $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and S/S^1 by β, the topologies $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{T}_\nu$ are the same.

We denote the real vector space of the self-adjoint trace-class operators by $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ and the real vector space of all bounded self-adjoint operators by $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$; endowed with the trace norm and the usual operator norm, respectively, these spaces are Banach spaces. As is well known, $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ can be considered as the dual space $(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}))'$ where the duality is given by the trace functional. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ be the convex set of all positive trace-class operators of trace 1; the operators of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ are the density operators and describe the quantum states. We recall that the extreme points of the convex set $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., the pure quantum states, are the one-dimensional orthogonal projections $P = P_\varphi$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$. We denote the set of these extreme points, i.e., the extreme boundary, by $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

The above definition of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and S/S^1 as well as of their quotient topologies is related to a geometrical point of view. From an operator-theoretical point of view, it is more obvious to identify $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and to restrict one of the various operator topologies to $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. A further definition of a topology on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is suggested by the interpretation of the one-dimensional projections $P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ as the pure quantum states and by the requirement that the transition probabilities between two pure states are continuous functions. Next we consider, taking account of $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$, the metric topologies on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ induced by the trace-norm topology of $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$, resp., by the norm toplogy of $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$. After that we introduce the weak topology on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the transition-probability functions as well as the restrictions of several weak operator topologies to $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. Finally, we shall prove the surprising result that all the many toplogies on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \cong S/S^1 \cong \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ are equivalent.

Theorem 2 *Let* $P_\varphi = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $P_\psi = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ *where* $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$. *Then*

(a)

$$\rho_n(P_\varphi, P_\psi) := \|P_\varphi - P_\psi\| = \sqrt{1 - |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2} = \sqrt{1 - \operatorname{tr} P_\varphi P_\psi}$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual operator norm

(b)

$$\rho_{\mathrm{tr}}(P_\varphi, P_\psi) := \|P_\varphi - P_\psi\|_{\mathrm{tr}} = 2\,\|P_\varphi - P_\psi\|\,,$$

in particular, the metrics ρ_n and ρ_{tr} on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ induced by the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{tr}}$ are equivalent

(c)

$$\|P_\varphi - P_\psi\| \leq \|\varphi - \psi\|\,,$$

in particular, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto P_\varphi$ from S into $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is continuous, $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ being equipped with ρ_n or ρ_{tr}.

Proof. To prove (a) and (b), assume $P_\varphi \neq P_\psi$, otherwise the statements are trivial. Then the range of $P_\varphi - P_\psi$ is a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and is spanned by the two linearly independent unit vectors φ and ψ. Since eigenvectors of $P_\varphi - P_\psi$ belonging to eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 0$ must lie in the range of $P_\varphi - P_\psi$, they can be written as $\chi = \alpha\varphi + \beta\psi$. Therefore, the eigenvalue problem $(P_\varphi - P_\psi)\chi = \lambda\chi$, $\chi \neq 0$, is equivalent to the two linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-\lambda)\alpha + \langle\varphi|\psi\rangle\beta &= 0 \\
-\langle\psi|\varphi\rangle\alpha - (1+\lambda)\beta &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$. It follows that $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{1 - |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2} =: \lambda_{1,2}$. Hence, $P_\varphi - P_\psi$ has the eigenvalues λ_1, 0, and λ_2. Now, from $\|P_\varphi - P_\psi\| = \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|\}$ and $\|P_\varphi - P_\psi\|_{\mathrm{tr}} = |\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|$, we obtain the statements (a) and (b).—From

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_\varphi - P_\psi\|^2 &= 1 - |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2 = \|\varphi - \langle\psi|\varphi\rangle\psi\|^2 = \|(I - P_\psi)\varphi\|^2 \\
&\leq \|(I - P_\psi)\varphi\|^2 + \|\psi - P_\psi\varphi\|^2 \\
&= \|(I - P_\psi)\varphi - (\psi - P_\psi\varphi)\|^2 \\
&= \|\varphi - \psi\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude statement (c). □

According to statement (b) of Theorem 2, the metrics ρ_n and ρ_{tr} give rise to the same topology $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ as well as to the same uniform structures.

Theorem 3 *Equipped with either of the two metrics ρ_n and ρ_{tr}, $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is separable and complete.*

Proof. As a metric subspace of the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the unit sphere S is separable. Therefore, by statement (c) of Theorem 2, the metric space $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \rho_n)$ is separable and so is $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \rho_{\mathrm{tr}})$ (the latter, moreover, implies the trace-norm separability of $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$). Now let $\{P_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \rho_{\mathrm{tr}})$. Then there exists an operator $A \in \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$\|P_n - A\|_{\mathrm{tr}} \to 0$ as well as $\|P_n - A\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (remember that, on $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{tr}}$ is stronger than $\|\cdot\|$). From

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|P_n - A^2\right\| = \left\|A^2 - P_n^2\right\| &\leq \left\|A^2 - AP_n\right\| + \left\|AP_n - P_n^2\right\| \\ &\leq \|A\| \, \|A - P_n\| + \|A - P_n\| \\ &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ we obtain $A = \lim_{n\to\infty} P_n = A^2$; moreover,

$$\operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{tr} AI = \lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{tr} P_n I = 1.$$

Hence, A is a one-dimensional orthogonal projection, i.e., $A \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. $\square$

Next we equip $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ with the topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ generated by the functions

$$P \mapsto h_Q(P) := \operatorname{tr} PQ = |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2 \tag{3}$$

where $P = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $Q = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\|\psi\| = \|\varphi\| = 1$. That is, $\mathcal{T}_0$ is the coarsest topology on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ such that all the real-valued functions h_Q are continuous. Note that $\operatorname{tr} PQ = |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2$ can be interpreted as the transition probability between the two pure states P and Q.

Lemma 1 *The set $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, equipped with the topology $\mathcal{T}_0$, is a second-countable Hausdorff space. A countable base of $\mathcal{T}_0$ is given by the finite intersections of the open sets*

$$\begin{aligned} U_{klm} &:= h_{Q_k}^{-1}\left(\left]q_l - \tfrac{1}{m}, q_l + \tfrac{1}{m}\right[\right) \\ &= \left\{P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, |\operatorname{tr} PQ_k - q_l| < \tfrac{1}{m}\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\{Q_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of one-dimensional orthogonal projections being ρ_n-dense in $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $\{q_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of numbers being dense in $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let P_1 and P_2 be any two different one-dimensional projections. Choosing $Q = P_1$ in (3), we obtain $h_{P_1}(P_1) = 1 \neq h_{P_1}(P_2) = 1 - \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. The sets

$$U_1 := \left\{P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, h_{P_1}(P) > 1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

and

$$U_2 := \left\{P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, h_{P_1}(P) < 1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

(cf. Eqs. (1) and (2)) are disjoint open neighborhoods of P_1 and P_2, respectively. So $\mathcal{T}_0$ is separating.

For an open set $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $h_Q^{-1}(O)$ is $\mathcal{T}_0$-open. We next prove that

$$U := h_Q^{-1}(O) = \bigcup_{U_{klm} \subseteq U} U_{klm} \tag{5}$$

with U_{klm} according to (4). Let $P \in U$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the interval $]h_Q(P) - \varepsilon, h_Q(P) + \varepsilon[$ is contained in O. Choose $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$\frac{1}{m_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and choose a member q_{l_0} of the sequence $\{q_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a member Q_{k_0} of $\{Q_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $|\operatorname{tr} PQ - q_{l_0}| < \frac{1}{2m_0}$ and $\|Q_{k_0} - Q\| < \frac{1}{2m_0}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{tr} PQ_{k_0} - q_{l_0}| &\leq |\operatorname{tr} PQ_{k_0} - \operatorname{tr} PQ| + |\operatorname{tr} PQ - q_{l_0}| \\ &\leq \|Q_{k_0} - Q\| + |\operatorname{tr} PQ - q_{l_0}| \\ &< \tfrac{1}{m_0} \end{aligned}$$

which, by (4), means that $P \in U_{k_0 l_0 m_0}$. We further have to show that $U_{k_0 l_0 m_0} \subseteq U$. To that end, let $\widetilde{P} \in U_{k_0 l_0 m_0}$. Then, from

$$\left|\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{P}Q - \operatorname{tr} PQ\right| \leq \left|\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{P}Q - \operatorname{tr} \widetilde{P}Q_{k_0}\right| + \left|\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{P}Q_{k_0} - q_{l_0}\right| + |q_{l_0} - \operatorname{tr} PQ|$$

where the first term on the right-hand side is again smaller than $\|Q - Q_{k_0}\|$ and, by (4), the second term is smaller than $\frac{1}{m_0}$, it follows that

$$\left|h_Q(\widetilde{P}) - h_Q(P)\right| = \left|\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{P}Q - \operatorname{tr} PQ\right| \leq \tfrac{1}{2m_0} + \tfrac{1}{m_0} + \tfrac{1}{2m_0} = \tfrac{2}{m_0} < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $h_Q(\widetilde{P}) \in \,]h_Q(P) - \varepsilon, h_Q(P) + \varepsilon[\, \subseteq O$, i.e., $\widetilde{P} \in h_Q^{-1}(O) = U$. Hence, $U_{k_0 l_0 m_0} \subseteq U$.

Summarizing, we have shown that, for $P \in U$, $P \in U_{k_0 l_0 m_0} \subseteq U$. Hence, $U \subseteq \bigcup_{U_{klm} \subseteq U} U_{klm} \subseteq U$, and assertion (5) has been proved. The finite intersections of sets of the form $U = h_Q^{-1}(O)$ constitute a basis of the topology $\mathcal{T}_0$. Since every set $U = h_Q^{-1}(O)$ is the union of sets U_{klm}, the intersections of finitely many sets $U = h_Q^{-1}(O)$ is the union of finite intersections of sets U_{klm}. Thus, the finite intersections of the sets U_{klm} constitute a countable base of $\mathcal{T}_0$. $\square$

Later we shall see that the topological space $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_0)$ is homeomorphic to $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_n)$ as well as to $(S/S^1, \mathcal{T}_\nu)$. So it is also clear by Theorem 3 or Theorem 1 that $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_0)$ is a second-countable Hausdorff space. The reason for stating Lemma 1 is that later we shall make explicit use of the particular countable base given there.

The weak operator topology on the space $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ of the bounded self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is the coarsest topology such that the linear functionals

$$A \mapsto \langle\varphi|A\psi\rangle$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$, are continuous. It is sufficient to consider only the functionals

$$A \mapsto \langle\varphi|A\varphi\rangle \tag{6}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\|\varphi\| = 1$. The topology $\mathcal{T}_w$ induced on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ by the weak operator topology is the coarsest topology on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the restrictions of the linear functionals (6) to $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ are continuous. Since these restrictions are given by

$$P \mapsto \langle\varphi|P\varphi\rangle = \operatorname{tr} PQ = h_Q(P)$$

where $P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and $Q := |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, the topology $\mathcal{T}_w$ on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is, according to (3), just our topology $\mathcal{T}_0$.

Now we compare the weak topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ with the metric topology $\mathcal{T}_n$.

Theorem 4 *The weak topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and the metric topology $\mathcal{T}_n$ on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ are equal.*

Proof. According to (3), a neighborhood base of $P \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_0$ is given by the open sets

$$\begin{aligned} & U(P; Q_1, \ldots, Q_n; \varepsilon) \\ &\quad := \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} h_{Q_i}^{-1}(\,]h_{Q_i}(P) - \varepsilon, h_{Q_i}(P) + \varepsilon[\,) \\ &\quad = \left\{\widetilde{P} \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, \left|h_{Q_i}(\widetilde{P}) - h_{Q_i}(P)\right| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\right\} \\ &\quad = \left\{\widetilde{P} \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, \left|\operatorname{tr}\widetilde{P}Q_i - \operatorname{tr}PQ_i\right| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$; a neighborhood base of P w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_n$ is given by the open balls

$$K_\varepsilon(P) := \left\{\widetilde{P} \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, \left\|\widetilde{P} - P\right\| < \varepsilon\right\}. \tag{8}$$

If $\left\|\widetilde{P} - P\right\| < \varepsilon$, then

$$\left|\operatorname{tr}\widetilde{P}Q_i - \operatorname{tr}PQ_i\right| = \left|\operatorname{tr}Q_i(\widetilde{P} - P)\right| \leq \|Q_i\|_{\mathrm{tr}} \left\|\widetilde{P} - P\right\| = \left\|\widetilde{P} - P\right\| < \varepsilon;$$

hence, $K_\varepsilon(P) \subseteq U(P; Q_1, \ldots, Q_n; \varepsilon)$. To show some converse inclusion, take account of Theorem 2, part (a), and note that

$$\left\|\widetilde{P} - P\right\|^2 = 1 - \operatorname{tr}\widetilde{P}P = \left|\operatorname{tr}\widetilde{P}P - \operatorname{tr}PP\right|.$$

In consequence, by (7) and (8), $U(P; P; \varepsilon^2) = K_\varepsilon(P)$. Hence, $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_n$. $\square$

It looks surprising that the topolgies $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_n$ coincide. In fact, consider the sequence $\{P_{\varphi_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ where the vectors $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ constitute an orthonormal system. Then, w.r.t. the weak operator topology, $P_{\varphi_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ whereas $\left\|P_{\varphi_n} - P_{\varphi_{n+1}}\right\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, $0 \notin \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$; so $\{P_{\varphi_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent neither w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_w = \mathcal{T}_0$ nor w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_n$. Finally, like in the case of the weak operator topology, there is a natural uniform structure inducing $\mathcal{T}_0$. The uniform structures that are canonically related to $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_n$ are different: $\{P_{\varphi_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t. the uniform structure belonging to $\mathcal{T}_0$ but not w.r.t. that belonging to $\mathcal{T}_n$, i.e., w.r.t. the metric ρ_n.

We remark that besides $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_w$ several further weak topologies can be defined on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{H})$ be the Banach space of the compact self-adjoint operators and remember that $(\mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{H}))' = \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$. So the weak Banach-space topologies of $\mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$, and $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ as well as the weak-* Banach-space topologies of $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ can be restricted to $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, thus giving the topologies $\mathcal{T}_1 := \sigma(\mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})) \cap \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{T}_2 := \sigma(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{H})) \cap \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{T}_3 := \sigma(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})) \cap \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{T}_4 := \sigma(\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})) \cap \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\mathcal{T}_5 := \sigma(\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}), (\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}))') \cap \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, the strong operator topology induces a topology $\mathcal{T}_s$ on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$. From the obvious inclusions

$$\mathcal{T}_w \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{tr}},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_4 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_5 = \mathcal{T}_1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_w \subseteq \mathcal{T}_s \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$$

as well as from the shown equality

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_w = \mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{tr}}$$

it follows that the topologies $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_5$ and $\mathcal{T}_s$ also coincide with $\mathcal{T}_0$.

Finally, we show that all the topologies on $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ are equivalent to the quotient topologies $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{T}_\nu$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, resp., S/S^1.

Theorem 5 *The mapping* $F\colon S/S^1 \to \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $F([\varphi]_S := P_\varphi$ *where* $\varphi \in S$, *is a homeomorphism between the topological spaces* $(S/S^1, \mathcal{T}_\nu)$ *and* $(\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T}_0)$.

Proof. The mapping F is bijective. The map $h_Q \circ F \circ \nu\colon S \to \mathbb{R}$ where h_Q is any of the functions given by Eq. (3) and ν is the canonical projection from S onto S/S^1, reads explicitly

$$(h_Q \circ F \circ \nu)(\varphi) = h_Q(F([\varphi]_S)) = h_Q(P_\varphi) = \operatorname{tr} P_\varphi Q = \langle\varphi|Q\varphi\rangle;$$

therefore, $h_Q \circ F \circ \nu$ is continuous. Consequently, for an open set $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$(h_Q \circ F \circ \nu)^{-1}(O) = \nu^{-1}(F^{-1}(h_Q^{-1}(O)))$$

is an open set of S. By the definition of the quotient topology $\mathcal{T}_\nu$, it follows that $F^{-1}(h_Q^{-1}(O))$ is an open set of S/S^1. Since the sets $h_Q^{-1}(O)$, $Q \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open, generate the weak topology $\mathcal{T}_0$, $F^{-1}(U)$ is open for any open set $U \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Hence, F is continuous.

To show that F is an open mapping, let $V \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ be an open subset of S/S^1 and let $[\varphi_0]_S \in V$. Since the canonical projection ν is continuous, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\nu(K_\varepsilon(\varphi_0) \cap S) \subseteq V \tag{9}$$

where $K_\varepsilon(\varphi_0) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\varphi - \varphi_0\| < \varepsilon\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\varepsilon < 1$.

The topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ is generated by the functions h_Q according to (3); $\mathcal{T}_0$ is also generated by the functions $P \mapsto g_Q(P) := \sqrt{h_Q(P)} = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr} PQ}$. In consequence, the set

$$U_\varepsilon := g_Q^{-1}\left(\left]1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1 + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right[\right) \cap h_Q^{-1}\left(\left]1 - \tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{4}, 1 + \tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\right[\right)$$

where $Q := P_{\varphi_0}$ and φ_0 and ε are specified in the preceding paragraph, is $\mathcal{T}_0$-open. Using the identity

$$1 - |\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle|^2 = \|\varphi - \langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle\varphi_0\|^2$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is also a unit vector, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\varepsilon &= \left\{P_\varphi \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, |g_Q(P_\varphi) - 1| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } |h_Q(P_\varphi) - 1| < \tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\right\} \\
&= \left\{P_\varphi \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\middle|\, \big||\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle| - 1\big| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \big||\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle|^2 - 1\big| < \tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\right\} \\
&= \left\{P_\varphi \in \partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \,\big|\, \big||\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle| - 1\big| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \|\varphi - \langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle\varphi_0\| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $P_\varphi \in U_\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon < 1$, we have that $\langle\varphi|\varphi_0\rangle \neq 0$. Defining the phase factor $\lambda := \frac{\langle\varphi|\varphi_0\rangle}{|\langle\varphi|\varphi_0\rangle|}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\lambda\varphi - \varphi_0\| &= \|\lambda\varphi - \lambda\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle\varphi_0\| + \|\lambda\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle\varphi_0 - \varphi_0\| \\
&= \|\varphi - \langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle\varphi_0\| + \big\||\langle\varphi_0|\varphi\rangle|\varphi_0 - \varphi_0\big\| \\
&< \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $P_\varphi \in U_\varepsilon$ implies that $\lambda\varphi \in K_\varepsilon(\varphi_0)$; moreover, $\lambda\varphi \in K_\varepsilon(\varphi_0) \cap S$.

Taking the result (9) into account, we conclude that, for $P_\varphi \in U_\varepsilon$, $[\varphi]_S = [\lambda\varphi]_S = \nu(\lambda\varphi) \in V$. Consequently, $P_\varphi = F([\varphi]_S) \in F(V)$. Hence, $U_\varepsilon \subseteq F(V)$. Since U_ε is an open neighborhood of P_{φ_0}, P_{φ_0} is an interior point of $F(V)$. So, for every $[\varphi_0]_S \in V$, $F([\varphi_0]_S) = P_{\varphi_0}$ is an interior point of $F(V)$, and $F(V)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_0$-open set. Hence, the continuous bijective map F is open and thus a homeomorphism. $\square$

In the following, we identify the sets $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, S/S^1, and $\partial_e\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and call the identified set the *projective Hilbert space* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. However, we preferably think about the elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ as the one-dimensional orthogonal projections $P = P_\varphi$. On $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ then the quotient topologies $\mathcal{T}_\mu$, $\mathcal{T}_\nu$, the weak topologies $\mathcal{T}_0$, $\mathcal{T}_w$, $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_5$, $\mathcal{T}_s$, and the metric topologies $\mathcal{T}_n$, $\mathcal{T}_{\rm tr}$ coincide. So we can say that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ carries a natural topology $\mathcal{T}$; $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T})$ is a second-countable Hausdorff space.

For our purposes, it is suitable to represent this topology $\mathcal{T}$ as $\mathcal{T}_0$, $\mathcal{T}_n$, or $\mathcal{T}_{\rm tr}$. As already discussed, the topologies $\mathcal{T}_0$, $\mathcal{T}_n$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\rm tr}$ are canonically related to uniform structures. With respect to the uniform structure inducing $\mathcal{T}_0$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is not complete. The uniform structures related to $\mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\rm tr}$ are the same since they are induced by the equivalent metrics ρ_n and $\rho_{\rm tr}$; $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \rho_n)$ and $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \rho_{\rm tr})$ are separable complete metric spaces. So $\mathcal{T}$ can be defined by a complete separable metric, i.e., $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{T})$ is a polish space.

3 The Measurable Structure of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$

It is almost natural to define a measurable structure on the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by the σ-algebra $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{T})$ generated by the $\mathcal{T}$-open sets, i.e., Ξ is the smallest σ-algebra containing the open sets of the natural topology $\mathcal{T}$. In this way $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \Xi)$ becomes a measurable space where the elements $B \in \Xi$ are the Borel sets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. However, since the topology $\mathcal{T}$ is generated by the transition-probability functions h_Q according to Eq. (3), it is also obvious to define the measurable structure of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ by the σ-algebra Σ generated by the functions h_Q, i.e., Σ is the smallest σ-algebra such that all the functions h_Q are measurable. A result due to Misra (1974) [13, Lemma 3] clarifies the relation between Ξ and Σ. Before stating that result, we recall the following simple lemma which we shall also use later.

Lemma 2 *Let $(M, \mathcal{T})$ be any second-countable topological space, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ a countable base, and $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{T})$ the σ-algebra of the Borel sets of M. Then $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{T}) = \Xi(\mathcal{B})$ where $\Xi(\mathcal{B})$ is the σ-algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}$; $\mathcal{B}$ is a countable generator of Ξ.*

Proof. Clearly, $\Xi(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Xi(\mathcal{T})$. Since every open set $U \in \mathcal{T}$ is the countable union of sets of $\mathcal{B}$, it follows that $U \in \Xi(\mathcal{B})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \Xi(\mathcal{B})$ and consequently $\Xi(\mathcal{T}) = \Xi(\mathcal{B})$. $\square$

Theorem 6 **(**Misra) *The σ-algebra $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{T})$ of the Borel sets of the projective Hilbert space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and the σ-algbra Σ generated by the transition-probability functions h_Q, $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, are equal.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is generated by the functions h_Q, the latter are continuous and consequently Ξ-measurable. Since Σ is the smallest σ-algebra such that the functions h_Q are measurable, it follows that $\Sigma \subseteq \Xi$.

Now, by Lemma 1, $\mathcal{T}$ is second-countable, and a countable base $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is given by the finite intersections of the sets U_{klm} according to Eq. (4). Since $U_{klm} \in \Sigma$, it follows that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma$. By Lemma 2, we conclude that $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Sigma$. Hence, $\Xi = \Sigma$. $\square$

We remark that our proof of Misra's theorem is much easier than Misra's proof from 1974. The reason is that we explicitly used the countable base $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of Σ-measurable sets.

Finally, consider the σ-algebra Ξ_0 in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ that is generated by all $\mathcal{T}$-continuous real-valued functions on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., Ξ_0 is the σ-algebra of the Baire sets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. Obviously, $\Sigma \subseteq \Xi_0 \subseteq \Xi$; so Theorem 6 implies that $\Xi_0 = \Xi$. This result is, according to a general theorem, also a consequence of the fact that the topology $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is metrizable.

Summarizing, our result $\Sigma = \Xi_0 = \Xi$ manifests that the projective Hilbert space carries, besides its natural topology $\mathcal{T}$, also a very natural measurable structure Ξ.

References

[1] Beltrametti, E. G., and S. Bugajski, "A Classical Extension of Quantum Mechanics," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28**, 3329–3343 (1995); "Quantum Observables in Classical Frameworks," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **34**, 1221–1229 (1995).

[2] Bjelaković, I., and W. Stulpe, "The Projective Hilbert Space as a Classical Phase Space for Nonrelativistic Quantum Dynamics," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **44**, 2041–2049 (2005).

[3] Brody, D. C., and L. P. Hughston, "Geometric Quantum Mechanics," *J. Geom. Phys.* **38**, 19–53 (2001).

[4] Bugajski, S., "Nonlinear Quantum Mechanics is a Classical Theory," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **30**, 961–971 (1991); "Delinearization of Quantum Logic," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **32**, 389–398 (1993); "Classical Frames for a Quantum Theory—A Bird's-Eye View," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **32**, 969–977 (1993); "On Classical Representations of Convex Descriptions," *Z. Naturforsch.* **48a**, 469–470 (1993).

[5] Bugajski, S., "Topologies on Pure Quantum States," *Phys. Lett. A* **190**, 5–8 (1994).

[6] Busch, P., "Less (Precision) Is More (Information): Quantum Information in Terms of Quantum Statistical Models," quant-ph/0401027 (2004).

[7] Busch, P., and W. Stulpe, "The Structure of Classical Extensions of Quantum Probability Theory," Preprint (2007).

[8] Cirelli, R., and P. Lanzavecchia, "Hamiltonian Vector Fields in Quantum Mechanics," *Nuovo Cim.* **79 B**, 271–283 (1984).

[9] Cirelli, R., A. Mania, and L. Pizzocchero, "Quantum Mechanics as an Infinite-Dimensional Hamiltonian System with Uncertainty Structure," Parts I and II, *J. Math. Phys.* **31**, 2891–2897, 2898–2903 (1990).

[10] Günther, C., "Prequantum Bundles and Projective Hilbert Geometries," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **16**, 447–464 (1977).

[11] Holevo, A. S., *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam (1982).

[12] Kibble, T. W. B., "Geometrization of Quantum Mechanics," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **65**, 189–201 (1979).

[13] Misra, B., "On a New Definition of Quantal States," in *Physical Reality and Mathematical Description*, C. P. Enz and J. Mehra (eds.), 455–476, Reidel, Dordrecht (1974).

[14] Stulpe, W., and M. Swat, "Quantum States as Probability Measures," *Found. Phys. Lett.* **14**, 285–293 (2001).